REMARKS

In this final Office Action, the Examining Attorney has maintained his rejections of Claims 1-11 and 22 and rejected new Claim 23. Applicant has cancelled these claims. The Examining Attorney has objected to Claims 12-21 but indicated that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

Applicant has rewritten Claim 12 to include all of the limitations of Claims 1 and 2 from which it depends. As such, Claim 12 should be allowable. Claims 13-21 depend directly or indirectly from Claim 12 and thus should also be allowable.

Applicant submits, therefore, that with the above-suggested amendments to the Claims, the application is now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Belasco Jacobs & Townsley, LLP

Dated: March 22, 2005

David A Palace

Registration No. 41,609 Attorney for Applicant